

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/637,543	(08/11/2000	Harald Thomas	43605-00019	7665
23932	7590	04/07/2003			
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC				EXAMINER	
1445 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 3200				NGUYEN, TU X	
DALLAS, T	X 75202			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2682	6
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/637,543	THOMAS ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tu X Nguyen	2682
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
_	Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 24-33</u> is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the Ex	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language proceeds	ovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachment		, , ,	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tr		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/637,543

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 26-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krasner (PCT 99/36795).

As to claims 1 and 26, Krasner discloses a multiple communication device (150) of the type with parallel operation, comprising:

a first subunit (130) at least receiving input signals at a predetermined input level (see page 10, 1st and 2nd paragraph);

a second subunit (109) at least transmitting output signals at a specific time, frequency (see page 6 and 14), and output level such that said output level is very large compared to said input level of said first subunit (see abstract); wherein

an operation mode of said first subunit is modified when said second subunit is transmitting output signals (see abstract).

As to claims 2 and 27, Krasner discloses said first subunit comprises an operation mode modification unit to receive at least one signal (see 110, fig.2)

specifying time, frequency and/or output level in said second subunit for operation mode modification in said first subunit.

As to claims 3 and 28, Krasner discloses said operation mode modification unit (104) is adapted to modify and input characteristic of said first subunit (116,117, 122 and 130).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 24-25 and 29-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krasner and further in view of Hughes (US Patent 6,134,427).

As to claims 4 and 29, Krasner fail to disclose said input characteristic of said first subunit is modified through a low noise amplifier having at least two operation modes and/or a tunable filter and/or a switchable receiver and/or an antenna with tunable gain.

Hughes discloses a low noise amplifier (56) having at least two operation modes. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Krasner with the above teaching of Hughes in order to reduce circuit components providing an amplifier which has selected

Application/Control Number: 09/637,543

Art Unit: 2682

alternative modes to process received signals in the corresponding communication band.

As to claim 24, the modified Krasner discloses everything as above claims 1-4. More specifically, the modified Krasner discloses antenna characteristics are adapted to enhance blocking performance of said first subunit for shifting said frequency with maximum gain in case of presence of a blocking signal so as to provide additional attenuation for out of band signals (see Krasner, page 10).

As to claim 25, the modified Krasner discloses everything as above claim 1.

More specifically, the modified Kranser discloses a dual band mobile communication standard GSM 900/GSM 1900 (see Hughes col.2 lines 57-64).

As to claim 30, the modified Krasner discloses operation mode modification is executed at least one signal to activate a normal operation mode in the second subunit (see Krasner, 104, 105, fig.2).

As to claim 31, the modified Krasner discloses control and/or input signals defining a transmitter signal in the second subunit are employed to initiate the operation mode modification in the first subunit (see Krasner, 117, 116, 122).

5. Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krasner.

As to claims 32-33, Krasner discloses everything as claim 1 above. Krasner does not mention about a computer program product directly loadable into an internal memory of a digital computer. Official notice is taken that the concept of a computer

Application/Control Number: 09/637,543

Art Unit: 2682

program product directly loadable into an internal memory of a digital computer is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Karner such that a computer program product loadable into an internal memory, so that the device can carry out new instructions from a computer program.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 11-23 are allowable.
- 7. Claims 5-10, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claims 5, 11, 16 and 18, none of prior art records teaching low noise amplifier has at least two operation modes and comprises a switchable bias network adapted to define at least two biasing conditions of said low noise amplifier, as cited in the claims.

As to claim 17, none of prior art records teaching "a filter connected between said antenna and said second low noise amplifier and adapted to reject blocking signals; and wherein in case a performance of said low noise amplifier is limited due to an interfering signal said second low noise amplifier with said filter connected thereto is activated" as cited in the claim.

1

Page 6

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

March 20, 2003

VIVIAN CHIN

SUPERVISCEY PATENT EXAMINER

IECHHOLOGY DEWTER 2600

3/21/03